

1 2 3 4	DAVID D. FISCHER (SBN 224900) LAW OFFICES OF DAVID D. FISCHER, APC 1007 7 th Street, Suite 100 Sacramento, CA. 95814 Tel. (916) 447-8600 Fax (916) 930-6482 E-Mail: davefischer@yahoo.com					
5	Attorney for Defendant STACEY JACOBS					
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE					
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
LO	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:12-CR-00323 MCE				
11	Plaintiff,	AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER				
13	\v. \\ \}	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER				
L4 L5	STACEY JACOBS MYRON JACOBS					
L6 L7 L8	Defendants.					
19						
20	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and the					
21	defendants, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:					
23	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on April 4, 2013.					
24	2. By this stipulation, the defendants now move to continue the status conference					
25	until June 13, 2013, and to exclude time between April 4, 2013, and June 13, 2013, under Local					
26	Code T4. Plaintiff does not oppose this request.					
27	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:				

Case 2:12-cr-00323-MCE Document 20 Filed 04/05/13 Page 2 of 3

- a. The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes approximately 4,423 pages of investigative reports and related documents in electronic form. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.
- b. Counsel for the defendants desire additional time to consult with their respective clients, to review the current charges, to conduct investigation and research related to the charges, to review and copy discovery for this matter, to discuss potential resolutions with their clients, to prepare pretrial motions, and to otherwise prepare for trial.
- c. Counsel for the defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d. The government does not object to the continuance.
- e. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of April 4, 2013, to June 13, 2013, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the

1	period within which a trial must commence.				
2					
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
4	Dated: March 29, 2013		BENJAMIN WAGNER		
5	Dated. Water 27, 2013		U.S. ATTORNEY		
6		by:	/s/ David D. Fischer for		
7			LEE BICKLEY Assistant U.S. Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff		
9					
10	Dated: March 29, 2013		/s/ David D. Fischer		
11			DAVID D. FISCHER Attorney for Defendant STACEY JACOBS		
12					
13	Dated: March 29, 2013		/s/ David D. Fischer for		
14			TIM PORI Attorney for Defendant MYRON JACOBS		
16					
17	ORDER				
18					
19					
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
21	DATED: April 4, 2013				
22					
23					
24	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE				
25					
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
27					
28					